

Subject: Default initial values for optional dummy arguments  
From: Van Snyder  
References: 03-258r1, section 2.4.3.2, 04-179, 04-338, 04-353, 04-354

## 1 **1 Number**

2 TBD

## 3 **2 Title**

4 Default initial values for optional dummy arguments.

## 5 **3 Submitted By**

6 J3

## 7 **4 Status**

8 For consideration.

## 9 **5 Basic Functionality**

10 Default initial values for optional dummy arguments.

## 11 **6 Rationale**

12 A frequently requested feature is to be able to specify a default initial value for absent optional dummy  
13 arguments.

## 14 **7 Estimated Impact**

15 Minor, mostly in Section 12, unless the ramifications remarked below in Section 8.1 are attributed to  
16 this proposal. That would make this proposal a teensy bit bigger. Estimated at J3 meeting 169 to be  
17 at 4 on the JKR scale.

## 18 **8 Detailed Specification**

19 Provide a specification for a default initial value for absent optional dummy scalars, and arrays that  
20 are not assumed-size arrays. The specification has almost exactly the same syntax as an initialization,  
21 the differences being that the expression need not be an initialization expression, and that pointer  
22 initialization need not be NULL(); indeed, the target need not have the SAVE attribute.

23 If an optional dummy argument has a default initialization specified and the associated actual argument is  
24 absent, the effect is as if the initializer were evaluated in the context of the invocation of the procedure,  
25 and then became associated with the dummy argument as if it were the actual argument, and the  
26 dummy argument had the VALUE attribute as well. This implies that optional dummy arguments with  
27 initializers cannot have INTENT(INOUT) or INTENT(OUT). Two initializers shall not depend on each  
28 other, either directly or indirectly — because they can't be evaluated. In particular:

- 29 (1) Its assumed and deferred nonkind type parameters and extents, and dynamic type if it is  
30 polymorphic, are taken from the initializer. This is an extension beyond the behavior of  
31 VALUE.
- 32 (2) If it is not a pointer the value is assigned as if by intrinsic assignment.
- 33 (3) If it is allocatable, it is assumed to be unallocated before the magic happens. This is an  
34 extension beyond the behavior of VALUE.

- 1           (4) If it is a pointer, the default initializer shall have the TARGET attribute, and the association  
2           is established as if by pointer assignment. This is an extension beyond the behavior of  
3           VALUE.  
4           (5) The PRESENT intrinsic never returns false, or maybe is prohibited, since the initializer is  
5           in effect an actual argument.

## 6 **8.1 Remarks**

7 Once this is done, the proposal in 04-338 to remove restrictions on VALUE might as well be implemented.  
8 Actually, 04-338 would need to be extended a little bit more, to allow ALLOCATABLE and POINTER  
9 to coexist with VALUE. The behavior of those extensions is obvious. 04-353 and 04-354 might as well  
10 be done, too. That way, default initialization for optional dummy arguments, intrinsic assignment and  
11 VALUE would all work consistently.

## 12 **9 History**