24 January 2007 J3/07-135

Subject: UTI 5003 From: Van Snyder

1 Introduction

2 I don't think there's anything wrong with the description of a module procedure interface. It is modeled

- 3 on the description of the declaration of the interface of an external procedure. As with an external
- 4 procedure interface, the interface can be used as if it were an abstract interface even if no corresponding
- 5 external procedure exists in the program. The primary difference is the appearance of MODULE within
- 6 the prefix of the subroutine or function statement that introduces the interface body. This tells the
- 7 processor that it needs to combine the module name or submodule identifier with the subprogram name
- 8 in the same way that it would for a module procedure that is not a separate module procedure. This
- 9 combined name is not used, however, except in a procedure reference or when the procedure is used as
- 10 an actual argument or pointer assignment target.
- 11 Actually, the description of a generic or specific interface block is defective: it doesn't make an exception
- 12 for separate module procedure interface bodies.

13 2 Edits

- 14 Edits refer to 07-007. Page and line numbers are displayed in the margin. Absent other instructions, a
- 15 page and line number or line number range implies all of the indicated text is to be replaced by associated
- 16 text, while a page and line number followed by + (-) indicates that associated text is to be inserted after
- 17 (before) the indicated line. Remarks are noted in the margin, or appear between [and] in the text.
- 18 [Editor: Replace "An interface body" by "If an interface body is not a module procedure interface body, 302:6
- 19 it".]

20 [Editor: Delete UTI 5003.] 512:24+1-

24 January 2007 Page 1 of 1