

Subject: Issue 267
 From: Van Snyder

1 Introduction

Issue 267 is concerned with the question whether an entire structure is required to be defined if it is an operand.

2 Specification change

Operands for defined operations should have the same requirements as for actual arguments of functions that have dummy arguments as specified for defined operations.

3 Edits

Edits refer to 00-007r2. Page and line numbers are displayed in the margin. Absent other instructions, a page and line number or line number range implies all of the indicated text is to be replaced by immediately following text, while a page and line number followed by + (-) indicates that immediately following text is to be inserted after (before) the indicated line. Remarks for the editor are noted in the margin, or appear between [and] in the text.

[Editor: Delete. The term “section” applies to all of section 7 (so it should be “subclause”), but 7.1.8.0 ought only to apply to intrinsic operations (the requirements for defined operations are elsewhere, e.g. 7.1.8.7 and 7.3), and 7.1.8.7 (which is part of subclause 7.1.8) is explicitly limited to defined operations. So this sentence is simply wrong.] 120:20

[Editor: “in an expression” \Rightarrow “of an intrinsic operation”. Delete indirect specification of requirements for defined operations.] 120:21

[Editor: Join the two paragraphs, so that the second of them also applies to an intrinsic operation.] 120:24-25

[Editor: “all of its components” \Rightarrow “it”.] 120:26 |

[Editor: Delete “The 3rd para ... writing this up” – the first three paragraphs and the first sentence of the fourth paragraph – of issue 267.] 121:3-17