



Defined operations were introduced in Fortran 90, when INTENT implied the POINTER attribute wasn't present, and dummy arguments couldn't be ALLOCATABLE. Do we now want to say "nonpointer, nonallocatable" along with "nonoptional"? 245:31-32

---

Defined assignment was introduced in Fortran 90, when INTENT implied the POINTER attribute wasn't present, and dummy arguments couldn't be ALLOCATABLE. Do we now want to say "nonpointer, nonallocatable" along with "nonoptional"? If so, this also has implications at [413:25], where we probably should remove "intrinsic". 246:32-33