28 February 2002 J3/02-110r2

Subject: Comments on Section 2, Unresolved issue 349

From: Van Snyder

1 Edits

33

35

[Delete "The second ... procedures."]]

```
Edits refer to 02-007. Page and line numbers are displayed in the margin. Absent other
   instructions, a page and line number or line number range implies all of the indicated text is to
   be replaced by associated text, while a page and line number followed by + (-) indicates that
   associated text is to be inserted after (before) the indicated line. Remarks are noted in the
   margin, or appear between [ and ] in the text.
   [Editor: Should "Sections" be plural here?]
                                                                                                       11:44
    [Editor: Set the second "DATA statements" in obsolescent font.
                                                                                                       15, Table 2.1
    [Editor: Insert "(8.2)" after "statements".]
                                                                                                       15:5
   Executing an end-subroutine-stmt or end-function-stmt isn't equivalent to executing a return- 16:2
10
   stmt that specifies an alternate return. Editor: Insert "with no scalar-int-expr" after return-stmt.
11
   [Needs to mention SELECT TYPE. Editor: "and IF" \Rightarrow "IF constructs, and SELECT TYPE".]
                                                                                                       16:14
   The "together with" part at [16:31] doesn't contribute anything. Editor: Delete it, and insert 16:31-32
13
   a comma after "values" at [16:32].]
14
   [Editor: "struvtures" \Rightarrow "structures".]
                                                                                                       17:9
   [Run-on sentence. Editor: ", and" \Rightarrow "; it".]
                                                                                                       18:17
   [Run-on sentence. Editor: ", and" ⇒ ";"; Conversion defect: Editor: Insert "size is the total"
17
   after "its".
18
   The term "scalar-like" suggests there's something not-quite-scalar like too. Editor: "scalar- 19:16
19
   like"" \Rightarrow "scalar" (notice that the quotes disappear).
20
   [What's "certain" about the restrictions. Editor: "certain" \Rightarrow "the"; "constraints (16.4.3)" \Rightarrow 19:36
21
   "restrictions described in 16.4.3".]
22
   [Everything is "in this standard" but of all the subclauses of 2.5, only 2.5.2 bothers to say so. 20:7
23
   Nothing's special here. Editor: Delete "in this standard".]
   [Keywords aren't used in dummy argument lists. Editor: Insert "actual" before "argument".]
                                                                                                       20:13
   [Editor: Replace "The term ... undefined." with the following:]
                                                                                                       20:24-27
26
   The term definition is used in two ways.
27
               It refers to the specification of derived types and procedures.
28
               When an object is given a valid value during program execution, it is said to become
29
               defined. This is often accomplished by execution of an assignment or input state-
               ment. When a variable does not have a predictable value, it is said to be undefined.
31
               Similarly, when a pointer is associated with a target or nullified, its pointer associ-
32
```

28 February 2002 Page 1 of 2

not predictable, its pointer association status is said to be undefined.

[Why be coy? Editor: "Under certain circumstances," \(\Rightarrow\$ "When"; "and" \(\Rightarrow\$ "it".]

ation status is said to become defined. When the association status of a pointer is

20:28-29

20:26-27

28 February 2002 J3/02-110r2

```
Now that 2.5.4 discusses "association" it would be useful to have this subclause before it. 21:7-13
   Editor: Move to [20:20-].]
   Editor: Listify the subclause. Start the first list element by replacing "The first" by "The 21:15-23
   qualifier" at [21:15]. Start the second list element at [21:21] after deleting "second use of".]
        Unresolved issue 349
   The editor liked the former wording of [12:9-10]. The current wording replaces "any" with
   "a processor-dependent." The editor says the replacement is an invitation to incompatibilities.
   For example, a processor may require alphabetical order. But "any" order prohibits a processor
   from imposing the dependencies contemplated in Note 2.2.
   The standard should not discuss ordering – especially in a sentence that begins "The set..." –
10
   because that's the province of the linker. If we just take out the part about ordering, the sentence
11
   becomes nearly identical to the first sentence of the paragraph. BUT, it's still defective in not
12
   mentioning that a program can have only one unnamed block data program unit.
13
   [Editor: Delete "The set...."]
                                                                                                   12:9-10
   [Editor: Delete unresolved issue note 349. At the third line of Note 2.2, "Since" \Rightarrow "This 12:10+1ff
   standard places no ordering requirement on the program units that constitute a program, but
   since".
17
   3
        Remark for the editor
18
   The two-column stuff that's done with the LATEX tabular environment only gets one line 17:35,37
   number per tabular. I tried tabbing and it doesn't get any at all. Here's a macro that gets
20
   line numbers:
21
   \newcommand{\twoup}[3][1.75in]{\hspace*{0.25in}\makebox[#1][1]{#2}#3}
22
   It takes three arguments, the first of which is optional with default 1.75 in. It indents 0.25 in,
23
   puts its second argument left justified in a box having a width given by its first argument, then
24
   emits its third argument. Here's how it looks:
25
       named scalar
                                 a scalar object
                                 (an array object)
       a named array
27
28
       an array element
                                 (a scalar subobject)
29
                                 (an array subobject)
       an array section
30
                                 (a scalar or an array subobject)
       a structure component
                                 (a scalar subobject)
       a substring
32
   The first one was set using \twoup{named scalar}{a scalar object}. Here's one set using
33
   \twoup[2.5in] {named scalar} {a scalar object}:
34
       named scalar
                                            a scalar object
35
   I didn't look to see how many of these things there are, but I suspect it's a lot. How many do
```

28 February 2002 Page 2 of 2

you want to change?