2 April 2003 J3/03-176

Subject: Section 1.3 from 03-107r1, revised

From: Van Snyder

## 1 Introduction

Section 1.3 from 03-107r1 needs to be revised to account for 03-166.

## 2 Edits for Section 4 in 02-007r3

- Edits refer to 02-007r3. Page and line numbers are displayed in the margin. Absent other instructions, a
- 5 page and line number or line number range implies all of the indicated text is to be replaced by associated
- text, while a page and line number followed by + (-) indicates that associated text is to be inserted after
- $\tau$  (before) the indicated line. Remarks are noted in the margin, or appear between [ and ] in the text.
- Most of the following changes were proposed in paper 02-278r2 at J3 meeting 163. The changes for Note
- 4.45 on page 54 and constraint 480 on page 61 were also proposed in paper 02-306r1 at J3 meeting 163,
- 10 and part 5 of paper 02-319r2.
- [Editor: "Variables may be objects or subobjects."  $\Rightarrow$  "A variable is a data object."]
- [A scalar-int-initialization-expr cannot possibly be a dummy argument. Editor: "present"  $\Rightarrow$  "specified".]

## 3 Edits with respect to 03-166

- [Editor: Unbold "components".]
- [The introductory waffle mostly ignores type-bound procedures, but where it doesn't, it's wrong. Editor: 41:7, 20-21
- After "components" at [41:7] insert "and type-bound procedures". Delete the first sentence at [41:20]
- because it's no longer needed. Delete the second sentence at [41:20-21] because it's wrong.
- [Editor: Append sentence "If its kind selector is omitted, the kind type parameter is default integer."] 45:11
- [Repeats verbatim material from 4.2, after which it's upside-down: The attribute determines what variety 45:12-14
- of a parameter it is. All that's necessary here is the last sentence (but alone it would have a dangling
- 22 antecedent):]
- 23 The type-param-attr-spec explicitly specifies whether a type parameter is a kind parameter or a length
- parameter.
- [Editor: "a bound for an explicit-shape-spec or a type-param-value"  $\Rightarrow$  "a type-param-value or a bound 47:Note 4.26
- in an explicit-shape-spec".]
- [Editor: In fourth and fifth lines of Note 4.36 (cont): "TYPE(MEMBER)"  $\Rightarrow$  "TYPE(MEMBER(9))" 51:Note 4.36
- 28 twice.
- $_{29}$  [Sounds like the final subroutine calls the other final subroutines. Editor: Delete "calling" from the 54:21+7-8
- second line of Note 4.44 and insert "are called" after "type" on the third line.]
- [Editor: Move the bold to the second instance of "finalized".] 55:2
- The sentence "If the object ... undefined" has nothing to do with when an object is finalized, but rather 55:23-24
- what happens if it's not finalized. A more logical place for it is in 4.5.5.1. Editor: "the object"  $\Rightarrow$  "an
- object"; then move the sentence to [55:16+], making it a separate paragraph.]
- [Editor: "which"  $\Rightarrow$  "that" at the first line of Note 4.46.] 56:4+2
- Editor: "An" \Rightarrow "A scalar". This change was recommended in J3 meeting 164 paper 02-332.] 56:17
- $[Editor: "specific" \Rightarrow "nongeneric"]$  57:2
- [Editor: In the last line of Note 4.52 (cont): "POINT\_3D"  $\Rightarrow$  "POINT\_3D\_LENGTH".] 58:0+3

2 April 2003 Page 1 of 1