2 January 2004 J3/04-169

Subject: Optional arguments for subprograms that define operations or assignment

From: Van Snyder

Reference: 03-258r1, sections 2.3.3

1 Number

2 TBD

3 2 Title

4 Optional arguments for subprograms that define operations or assignment.

5 3 Submitted By

6 J3

7 4 Status

8 For consideration.

9 5 Basic Functionality

10 Allow optional arguments for subprograms that define operations or assignment.

11 6 Rationale

- 12 One sometimes has procedures that have optional arguments that one would like to use to define as-
- 13 signment or operations. These cannot be used because of restrictions on the number of arguments such
- procedures are required to have. One could wrap these with additional procedures that have the required
- 15 number of arguments, but this increases code bulk. Studies have shown that the most important factor
- 16 contributing to development and maintenance expense is code bulk.

7 Estimated Impact

18 Minor.

19 8 Detailed Specification

- 20 Allow procedures that define assignment or operations to have optional arguments. Require a procedure
- 21 that defines assignment to have at least two arguments, with any after the first two required to be
- 22 optional and the first two could be optional, too. Require a procedure that defines an operation to
- have at least one argument, and if it has more than two, those after the second one are required to be
- 24 optional and the first two could be optional, too. If a procedure for which all arguments after the
- 25 first one are optional defines an operation, the operator can be used as either a binary operator or as an
- 26 unary operator.
- 27 The generic resolution rules already handle optional arguments.

28 9 History

2 January 2004 Page 1 of 1