2 January 2004 J3/04-171

Subject: Compound assignment/operation generics would be useful

From: Van Snyder

Reference: 03-258r1, section 2.3.4

1 Number

2 TBD

3 Title

4 Compound assignment/operation generics would be useful.

5 Submitted By

6 J3

7 Status

8 For consideration.

9 Basic Functionality

10 Provide compound assignment/operation generics.

Rationale

- 2 Some applications have complicated derived-type objects on which one wishes to define operations and
- 13 assignment. In these cases, the result of the function that defines the operation will be an anonymous
- 14 object of a derived type. Finalizers help to get these to work correctly, but don't address the performance
- 15 problems that arise as a consequence of separating defined assignment from the defined operation, espe-
- 16 cially if assignment is a "deep copy." These could be ameliorated if a compound assignment/operation
- 17 generic interface could be defined.

18 Estimated Impact

19 Minor.

Detailed Specification

- 21 Define a new variety of generic-spec that specifies compounded assignment and operation, e.g., COM-
- 22 POUND(=,.MYMULT.). The first thing-o would have to be "=" so it may not be necessary to say so.
- 23 On the other hand, saying so leaves room to extend it to pointer assignment. The generic-spec could be
- 24 used in an interface block or a GENERIC statement in a type definition.
- 25 These defined operations compounded with assignment would be used in statements of the form variable
- 26 = expr .MYMULT. expr or variable = .MYUNARY. expr.
- 27 Require all of the procedures named or described by the interface to be subroutines with two or three
- arguments, with the first becoming associated with the variable and the second (and third) becoming
- associated with the expr(s). Also see the proposals for partial application and optional arguments for
- 30 subprograms that define operations or assignment, which would have impact on this specification.

31 History

2 January 2004 Page 1 of 1