6 January 2004 J3/04-180

Subject: Effect of some I/O specifiers should be conditional on "present" instead of "appear"

From: Van Snyder

Reference: 03-258r1, section 2.4.3.4

Number

2 TBD

3 Title

4 Effect of some I/O specifiers should be conditional on "present" instead of "appear."

5 Submitted By

6 J3

7 Status

8 For consideration.

9 Basic Functionality

10 The effect of some I/O specifiers should be conditional on "present" instead of "appear."

1 Rationale

- 12 Suppose you have an optional dummy argument that you wish to use to control some I/O feature that
- depends on whether an I/O control list specifier appears. Simple: Just put in an IF ... ELSE ... ENDIF
- 14 construct, with an I/O statement in each branch, one with the specifier and one without.
- 15 Now try it with n optional dummy arguments. One needs an IF ... ELSE IF ... ENDIF construct with
- 16 2^n branches, in each branch of which the desired subset of specifiers appears.

17 Estimated Impact

18 Minor — mostly a change of terminology in Section 9.

19 Detailed Specification

- 20 When an absent optional argument is used with a keyword specifier in an input/output control list or a
- 21 specifier in an allocate or deallocate statement, the specifier should be considered not to have appeared.
- The terminology for them ought, in parallel, to be changed to use "present" instead of "appear".
- 23 It wouldn't be appropriate to do this for all specifiers at least not for the FMT= and IOLENGTH=
- 24 specifiers.

25 History

6 January 2004 Page 1 of 1