8 January 2004 J3/04-189

Subject: Allow empty CONTAINS part

From: Van Snyder

Reference: 03-258r1, section 2.5

1 Number

2 TBD

3 Title

4 Allow empty CONTAINS part.

5 Submitted By

6 J3

7 Status

8 For consideration.

9 Basic Functionality

10 Allow empty CONTAINS part.

1 Rationale

- 12 Is there a good reason that a module, procedure or type definition has to have procedure definitions
- 13 after the CONTAINS statement? What would be hurt by allowing not to have any? Sometimes when
- 14 programs are generated automatically, they end up with an empty CONTAINS part, and then you have
- to go fix it manually, or whine (ineffectually) to the unsympathetic guys who wrote the processor, for
- 16 which you have no source code.

17 Estimated Impact

18 Trivial. Change a few syntax rules. No new words needed anywhere.

19 Detailed Specification

20 Allow empty CONTAINS part.

21 History

8 January 2004 Page 1 of 1