

Subject: Provide a way to embed decisions within expressions
 From: Van Snyder
 Reference: 03-258r1, section 2.8.1

1 **Number**

2 TBD

3 **Title**

4 Provide a way to embed decisions within expressions.

5 **Submitted By**

6 J3

7 **Status**

8 For consideration.

9 **Basic Functionality**

10 Provide a way to embed decisions within expressions. For example, a distfix IF-THEN-ELSE operator
 11 would be useful.

12 **Rationale**

13 One sometimes needs to select one thing or another to be used within an expression. At present, one
 14 creates a temporary variable, sets that variable with an if-then-else or where-elsewhere construct, then
 15 evaluates the expression using that variable.

16 Another use is to compute whether an actual argument is present. This cannot be done by creating a
 17 temporary variable. Instead, one uses an if-then-else construct with the argument textually present in
 18 one branch but not the other. If one wants to compute whether n actual arguments are present, one
 19 needs a complicated if-then-elseif-else construct with 2^n branches.

20 Other languages include a distfix if-then-else operator. For example, in C one can write $p ? x : y$, which
 21 is pronounced *if p then x else y*. If such an expression were to be the *target* in a pointer assignment, its
 22 result should be a target, not a value. This spelling could work in Fortran as well. Clunky alternatives
 23 might be `.IF. p .THEN. x .ELSE. y .ENDIF.`, or more briefly `p .THEN. x .ELSE. y`. For the case
 24 of computing whether an actual argument is present, the syntax might be $p ? x$, pronounced *if p then*
 25 *x is the actual argument, else the actual argument is not present*. p shall be scalar in this case.

26 The difference for these operators as compared to existing operators is that only the first operand (p in
 27 the example) is initially evaluated. Then the second operand (x in the example) is evaluated if (where in
 28 the elemental case) p is true, else (elsewhere in the elemental case) the third operand (y in the example)
 29 is evaluated if it appears. The first operand is required to be logical, while the others are required to be
 30 of the same type, type parameters and rank. If p is an array, x and y have to be the same shape as p .
 31 In the $p ? x$ case, p would necessarily have to be a scalar. The result type, type parameters and rank
 32 are those of x . If p is a scalar, the shape of the result is x or y depending on whether p is true or false.
 33 If p is an array, the shape of the result is the shape of p .

34 It would introduce substantial complication into the defined-operator discussion to allow to overload this
 35 operator. Fortunately, it seems unlikely that would be useful.

36 The functionality almost exists in the MERGE intrinsic function. The reason it isn't quite the same
 37 as what is described here is that the standard specifies that all arguments of a function are evaluated

1 before the function is invoked. Also, we don't have a two-argument MERGE that causes its result not
2 to exist (for purposes of argument association) if its first argument is false.

3 **Estimated Impact**

4 Minor.

5 **Detailed Specification**

6 Provide a way to embed a decision within an expression, for example, a distfix IF-THEN-ELSE operator.

7 Provide a way to compute whether an actual argument is present.

8 **History**