19 February 2004 J3/04-233r1

Subject: C-interoperable pointers with more Fortran semantics

From: Van Snyder References: 98-170r1, 04-232

1 Number

2 TBD

3 2 Title

4 C-interoperable pointers with more Fortran semantics.

5 3 Submitted By

6 J3

7 4 Status

8 For consideration.

9 5 Basic Functionality

10 Provide C-interoperable pointers with more Fortran semantics.

11 6 Rationale

- 12 Facilities to use C-interoperable pointers are sufficient to do everything desirable, but are quite cum-
- 13 bersome and cryptic. This increases maintenance costs and reduces efficiency. The present facilities,
- together with those proposed in 04-232, require one to understand the functionality of seven procedures,
- 15 two types, and two named constants. Once a competent Fortran programmer realizes that the only
- 16 difference between Fortran pointers and the proposed pointers here is that the proposed ones have some
- 17 restrictions, the proposed facilities are instantantly understandable.

7 Estimated Impact

19 Small to moderate.

20 8 Detailed Specification

- 21 Provide a new pointer attribute for data objects and procedures. These pointers are to be C inter-
- 22 operable. Data pointers can be scalars, assumed-size arrays, or explicit-shape arrays. We use here
- 23 terminology presently reserved for dummy arguments because the pointers have the same semantics as
- 24 dummy arguments with the same properties, but they need not be dummy arguments.
- 25 Provide a type that interoperates with the C void type.

26 8.1 Suggested syntax

- 27 The attribute POINTER(C) is proposed for data objects and procedure objects.
- 28 The type name C_VOID is proposed. It is a derived type with no public components.

29 8.2 Comparisons to current practice

- 30 Declarations that are the same in both cases:
- 31 integer :: I(10,20,30), J
- 32 integer, pointer :: F(:,:,:)
- 33 subroutine S ... BIND(C) ...; ...; end subroutine S
- 34 procedure(s), pointer :: P

19 February 2004 J3/04-233r1

Using 03-007r2	Using POINTER(C) (see 98-170r1)
integer, pointer :: p1(:), p3a(:,:,:), p3b(:,:,:)	! not needed in examples below
type(c_ptr) :: C, CC	integer, pointer(c) :: C(10,20,*), &
	& CC(10,20,*), C1(0:*)
type(c_fptr) :: Q ! void*	procedure(s), pointer(c) :: Q
$q = c_null_funptr$	q => null()! or
	nullify(q)
c = cc! no rank check	c = > cc ! ranks checked
c = c loc (i)! no rank check	c => i ! ranks checked
c = c loc (f)! no rank check	c => f ! ranks checked
if (c_associated(c))	if (associated(c))
if $(c_associated(c,cc))$	if (associated(c,cc))
c = malloc (10 * 20 * 30 * ???)	allocate (c (10, 20, 30))
call free (c)	deallocate (c)
! no rank check	
call c_f_pointer (c, f, (/10,20,30/))	f(10,20,30) => c! ranks checked
q = c_funloc (s)! no bounds check	q => s! Interfaces shall agree!
q = c_funloc (p) ! no bounds check	q => p! Interfaces shall agree!
call c_f_procpointer (q, p)	p => q! Interfaces shall agree!
$c = c_null_ptr$	c => null() ! or
	nullify(c)
call c_f_pointer (c, p3a, (/10,20,30/))	
j = p3a(1,2,3)	j = c(1,2,3)! could check bounds
call c_f_pointer (c, p3a, (/10,20,30/))	
p3a(1,2,3) = j	c(1,2,3) = j! could check bounds
call c_f_pointer (c, p3a, (/10,20,30/))	
call c_f_pointer (cc, p3b, $(/10,20,30/)$)	
p3b = p3a	cc(:,:,:30) = c(:,:,:30)
call c_f_pointer (c, p1, (/ 10 /))	
j = p1(4)	j = c1(3)! could check bounds
call c_f_pointer (c, p1, (/ 10 /))	
p1(4) = j	c1(3) = j! could check bounds
Type, bind(c) :: Node	Type, bind(c) :: Node
integer(c_int) :: value	integer(c_int) :: value
$integer(c_int) :: n_neighbors$	integer(c_int) :: n_neighbors
type(c_ptr) :: neighbors	type(node), pointer(c) :: neighbors(*)
End type Node	End type Node
type(c_ptr) :: PN! void*	type(node), pointer(c) :: PN
type(node), pointer :: FPN(:)	! not needed in examples below
call c_f_pointer (pn, fpn, (/ 1 /))	
call c_f_pointer (fpn(1)%neighbors, fpn,	
$(/ \text{fpn}(1)\% \text{n_neighbors}/))$	(2)~
call c_f_pointer (fpn(2)%neighbors, fpn,	print *, pn%neighbors(0)%neighbors(1)% &
$(/ \text{ fpn}(2)\% \text{n_neighbors } /))$	& neighbors (2)% value
print *, fpn(3)%value	pn%neighbors(0)%neighbors(1)% &
fpn(3)%value = 42	& neighbors $(2)\%$ value = 42

- 1 It is not explicit in the above table, but it is intended that one can allocate a POINTER(C) target in
- 2 Fortran and free it in C, or malloc a pointer in C and deallocate its target in Fortran.

3 8.3 Comparisons to proposals in 04-232

- 4 The proposals in 04-232 simplify some of the examples in the left column above, but at the expense of
- learning the functionality of two more procedures, as shown below.

19 February 2004 J3/04-233r1

Using 03-007r2 and proposals in 04-232	Using POINTER(C) (see 98-170r1)
$j = c_{\text{value}} (c, j, 3) !$ no bounds check	j = c1(3)! could check bounds
call c_store (c, j, 3) ! no bounds check	c1(3) = j! could check bounds
! No type checking in c_store	
call c_store (pn, n)	
pn = n%neighbors	
call c_store (pn, n, 0)	
pn = n%neighbors	
call c_store (pn, n, 1)	
pn = n%neighbors	print *, pn%neighbors(0)%neighbors(1)% &
call c_store (pn, n, 2)	& neighbors(2)%value
print *, n%value	pn%neighbors $(0)%$ neighbors $(1)%$ &
n%value = 42	& neighbors $(2)\%$ value = 42

9 History